

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,052	10/24/2001	Masayuki Aizawa	51455	6670
7	590 03/12/2003			
Tyco Technology Resources Suite 450			EXAMINER	
4550 New Linden Hill Road Wilmington, DE 19808			MCCAMEY, ANN M	
······································	L 17000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAIL DD. 02/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-3
Advisory Action	10/004,052	AIZAWA ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ann M McCamey	2833	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application and the control of the contro	ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension of the fee.	on
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		,	
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the	<b>)</b>
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration Sheet.	lered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sexplanation of how the new or amended claims working the proposed amendment (sexplanation of how the new or amended claims working).	s) a)  will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belov	⊠ will be entered and an vor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $igtizengm$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $24$ $Februa$	<i>ry 2003</i> is a)⊠ approved or b)	disapproved by the	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_ /_/	
10. Other:		RENEE LUEBKE PRIMARY EXAMINER	
Palent and Trademark Office			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims are still anticipated by/obvious over the art of record.